

# The U-Kenmotsu Hypersurfaces Axiom and Six-Dimensional Hermitian Submanifolds of Cayley Algebra

Mihail BANARU<sup>1,2</sup>

(1. Smolensk Branch of Moscow State University of Railway Engineering (MIIT), Smolensk 214012, Russia;

2. Smolensk State University, Smolensk 214000, Russia)

**Abstract:** Six-dimensional Hermitian submanifolds of Cayley algebra are considered. It is proved that if such a submanifold of the octave algebra complies with the *U*-Kenmotsu hypersurfaces axiom, then it is Kählerian.

**Key words:** almost contact metric structure; Kenmotsu structure; Kählerian manifold; almost Hermitian manifold

中图分类号:O151.21

文献标志码:A

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The almost Hermitian structures (AH-structures) belong to the most important and meaningful differential-geometrical structures. The existence of 3-vector cross products on Cayley algebra gives a lot of substantive examples of almost Hermitian manifolds. As it is well known, every 3-vector cross product on Cayley algebra induces a 1-vector cross product (or, what is the same in this case, an almost Hermitian structure) on its six-dimensional oriented submanifold<sup>[1-3]</sup>. Such almost Hermitian structures (in particular, Hermitian, special Hermitian, nearly-Kählerian, Kählerian etc) were studied by a number of authors: A. Gray<sup>[2-4]</sup>, V. F. Kirichenko<sup>[5-6]</sup>, Haizhong Li and Guoxin Wei<sup>[7-8]</sup>, H. Hashimoto<sup>[9-11]</sup>, K. Sekigawa<sup>[12]</sup>, L. Vrancken<sup>[13]</sup>, N. Ejiri<sup>[14]</sup>, S. Funabashi and J. S. Pak<sup>[15]</sup> and others. For example, a complete classification of Kählerian and nearly-Kählerian structures on six-dimensional submanifolds of the octave algebra has been obtained<sup>[5-6]</sup>.

The almost contact metric structures are also remarka-

ble and very important differential-geometrical structures. These structures are studied from the point of view of differential geometry as well as of modern theoretical physics. We mark out the close connection of almost contact metric and almost Hermitian structures. For instance, an almost contact metric structure is induced on an oriented hypersurface of an almost Hermitian manifold<sup>[16-17]</sup>.

In the present paper, we consider six-dimensional Hermitian submanifolds of Cayley algebra. We shall prove the following main result.

**Theorem.** If a six-dimensional Hermitian submanifold of Cayley algebra complies with the *U*-Kenmotsu hypersurfaces axiom, then it is Kählerian.

This short article is the continuation of the author's researches in the area of interconnection of almost Hermitian and almost contact metric structures<sup>[17-20]</sup> and others).

## 2 PRELIMINARIES

Let us consider an almost Hermitian manifold, i. e. a  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M^{2n}$  with a Riemannian metric

$g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and an almost complex structure  $J$ . Moreover, the following condition must hold

$$\langle JX, JY \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle, X, Y \in \mathfrak{N}(M^{2n}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{N}(M^{2n})$  is the module of smooth vector fields on  $M^{2n}$ . All considered manifolds, tensor fields and similar objects are assumed to be of the class  $C^\infty$ .

The specification of an almost Hermitian structure on a manifold is equivalent to the setting of a G-structure, where G is the unitary group  $U(n)$  [6, 21-22]. Its elements are the frames adapted to the structure (A-frames). They look as follows:

$$(p, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$$

where  $\varepsilon_a$  are the eigenvectors corresponded to the eigenvalue  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{\hat{a}}$  are the eigenvectors corresponded to the eigenvalue  $-i$ . Here the index  $a$  ranges from 1 to  $n$ , and we state  $\hat{a} = a + n$ .

Therefore, the matrixes of the operator of the almost complex structure and of the Riemannian metric written in an A-frame look as follows, respectively:

$$(J_j^k) = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & -I_n \end{pmatrix}; (g_{kj}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix;  $k, j = 1, \dots, 2n$ .

We recall that the fundamental form (or Kählerian form) of an almost Hermitian manifold is determined by the relation

$$F(X, Y) = \langle X, JY \rangle, X, Y \in \mathfrak{N}(M^{2n})$$

By direct computing it is easy to obtain that in A-frame the fundamental form matrix looks as follows:

$$(F_{kj}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iI_n \\ -iI_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

An almost Hermitian manifold is called Hermitian, if its structure is integrable. The following identity characterizes the Hermitian structure [20-21]:

$$\nabla_X(F)(Y, Z) - \nabla_{JX}(F)(JY, Z) = 0$$

where  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{N}(M^{2n})$ . The first group of the Cartan structural equations of a Hermitian manifold written in an A-frame looks as follows:

$$d\omega^a = \omega_b^a \wedge \omega^b + B_c^{ab} \omega^c \wedge \omega_b$$

$$d\omega_a = -\omega_\alpha^b \wedge \omega_b + B_{ab}^c \omega_c \wedge \omega^b$$

where  $\{B_c^{ab}\}$  and  $\{B_{ab}^c\}$  are components of the Kirichenko tensors of  $M^{2n}$  [21-23],  $a, b, c = 1, \dots, n$ .

We recall also that an almost contact metric structure

on an odd-dimensional manifold  $N$  is defined by the system of tensor fields  $\{\Phi, \xi, \eta, g\}$  on this manifold, where  $\eta$  is a vector field, ( $\eta$  is a covector field,  $\Phi$  is a tensor of the type  $(1, 1)$  and  $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the Riemannian metric [22]. Moreover, the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \Phi(\xi) = 0, \eta \circ \Phi = 0$$

$$\Phi^2 = -id + \xi \otimes \eta$$

$$\langle \Phi X, \Phi Y \rangle = \langle \Phi X, \Phi Y \rangle - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

$$X, Y \in \mathfrak{N}(N)$$

where  $\mathfrak{N}(N)$  is the module of smooth vector fields on  $N$ . As an example of an almost contact metric structure we can consider the cosymplectic structure that is characterized by the following condition:

$$\nabla \eta = 0, \nabla \Phi = 0$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric. It has been proved that the manifold, admitting the cosymplectic structure, is locally equivalent to the product  $M \times R$ , where  $M$  is a Kählerian manifold [24].

As it was mentioned, the almost contact metric structures are closely connected to the almost Hermitian structures. For instance, if  $(N, \{\Phi, \xi, \eta, g\})$  is an almost contact metric manifold, then an almost Hermitian structure is induced on the product  $N \times R$  [25]. If this almost Hermitian structure is integrable, then the input almost contact metric structure is called normal. As it is known, a normal contact metric structure is called Sasakian [25]. On the other hand, we can characterize the Sasakian structure by the following condition [22]:

$$\nabla_X(\Phi)Y = \langle X, Y \rangle \xi - \eta(Y)X, X, Y \in \mathfrak{N}(N)$$

For example, Sasakian structures are induced on totally umbilical hypersurfaces in a Kählerian manifold [25]. As it is well known, the Sasakian structures have many remarkable properties and play a fundamental role in contact geometry.

In 1972 Katsuei Kenmotsu has introduced a new class of almost contact metric structures [26] defined by the condition:

$$\nabla_X(\Phi)Y = \langle \Phi X, Y \rangle \xi - \eta(Y)\Phi X, X, Y \in \mathfrak{N}(N)$$

The Kenmotsu manifolds are normal and integrable, but they are not contact, consequently, they can not be Sasakian. In spite of the fact that the conditions for these kinds of manifolds are similar, the properties of Kenmotsu manifolds are to some extent antipodal to the Sasakian manifolds properties [27]. Note that the remarkable investiga-

tion<sup>[27]</sup> in this field contains a detailed description of Kenmotsu manifolds as well as a collection of examples of such manifolds. We mark out also the recent fundamental work by G. Pitis that contains a survey of most important results on geometry of Kenmotsu manifolds<sup>[28]</sup>.

### 3 THE PROOF OF THE THEOREM

At first, we remind that an almost Hermitian manifold  $M^{2n}$  complies with the U-Kenmotsu hypersurfaces axiom, if a totally umbilical Kenmotsu hypersurface passes through every point of this manifold.

Let  $\mathbf{O} \equiv \mathbb{R}^8$  be the Cayley algebra. As it is well-known<sup>[3]</sup>, two non-isomorphic three-fold vector cross products are defined on it by means of the relations:

$P_1(X, Y, Z) = -X(\bar{Y}Z) + \langle X, Y \rangle Z + \langle Y, Z \rangle X - \langle Z, X \rangle Y$ ,  $P_2(X, Y, Z) = -(X\bar{Y})Z + \langle X, Y \rangle Z + \langle Y, Z \rangle X - \langle Z, X \rangle Y$ , where  $X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{O}$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the scalar product in  $\mathbf{O}$  and  $X \rightarrow \bar{X}$  is the conjugation operator. Moreover, any other three-fold vector cross product in the octave algebra is isomorphic to one of the above-mentioned two. If  $M^6 \subset \mathbf{O}$  is a six-dimensional oriented submanifold, then the induced almost Hermitian structure  $\{J_y, g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$  is determined by the relation

$$J_t(X) = P_t(X, e_1, e_2), t = 1, 2$$

where  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  is an arbitrary orthonormal basis of the normal space of  $M^6$  at the point  $p$ ,  $X \in T_p(M^6)$ <sup>[3]</sup>.

We recall that the point  $p \in M^6$  is called general<sup>[5,6]</sup>, if  $e_0 \notin T_p(M^6)$

where  $e_0$  is the unit of Cayley algebra. A submanifold  $M^6 \subset \mathbf{O}$ , consisting only of general points, is called a general-type submanifold<sup>[6]</sup>. In what follows, all submanifolds  $M^6$  that will be considered are assumed to be of general type.

Let  $N$  be an arbitrary oriented hypersurface of a six-dimensional Hermitian submanifold  $M^6 \subset \mathbf{O}$  of Cayley algebra, let  $\sigma$  be the second fundamental form of immersion of  $N$  into  $M^6$ . Let us consider the Cartan structural equations of the almost contact metric structure on such a hypersurface<sup>[16]</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega^\alpha &= \omega_\beta^\alpha \wedge \omega^\beta + B_\gamma^{\alpha\beta} \omega^\gamma \wedge \omega_\beta + \\ &(\sqrt{2}B_\beta^{\alpha 3} + i\sigma_\beta^\alpha) \omega^\beta \wedge \omega + \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}B_3^{\alpha\beta} + i\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right) \omega_\beta \wedge \omega \\ d\omega_\alpha &= -\omega_\alpha^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta + B_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \omega_\gamma \wedge \omega^\beta + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\sqrt{2}B_{\alpha 3}^\beta - i\sigma_\alpha^\beta) \omega_\beta \wedge \omega + \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}B_{\alpha\beta}^3 - i\sigma_{\alpha\beta}\right) \omega^\beta \wedge \omega \\ d\omega &= (\sqrt{2}B_\beta^{3\alpha} - \sqrt{2}B_{3\beta}^\alpha - 2i\sigma_\beta^\alpha) \omega^\beta \wedge \omega_\alpha + \\ &(B_3^{\alpha\beta} - i\sigma_3^{\alpha\beta}) \omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\beta \end{aligned}$$

Here the indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  range from 1 to 2. Taking into account that the Cartan structural equations of a Kenmotsu structure look as follows<sup>[27]</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega^\alpha &= \omega_\beta^\alpha \wedge \omega^\beta + \omega \wedge \omega^\alpha \\ d\omega_\alpha &= -\omega_\alpha^\beta \wedge \omega_\beta + \omega \wedge \omega_\alpha \\ d\omega &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

we get the conditions whose simultaneous fulfillment is a criterion for the structure induced on  $N$  to be Kenmotsu:

$$B_\gamma^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{1a}$$

$$\sqrt{2}B_\beta^{\alpha 3} + i\sigma_\beta^\alpha = -\delta_\beta^\alpha \tag{1b}$$

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}B_3^{\alpha\beta} + i\sigma^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{1c}$$

$$\sqrt{2}B_\beta^{3\alpha} - \sqrt{2}B_{3\beta}^\alpha - 2i\sigma_\beta^\alpha = 0 \tag{1d}$$

$$B_3^{\alpha\beta} - i\sigma_3^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{1e}$$

and the formulae, obtained by complex conjugation (no need to write them explicitly).

From (1c) we obtain:

$$\sigma^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}B_3^{\alpha\beta}$$

By alternating of this relation we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sigma^{[\alpha\beta]} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}B_3^{[\alpha\beta]} = \\ &-\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}(B_3^{\alpha\beta} - B_3^{\beta\alpha}) = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}B_3^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned}$$

That is why  $B_3^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ , therefore

$$\sigma^{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

Similarly, from (1e) we obtain:

$$\sigma_3^\beta = 0$$

So, the conditions (1) we can rewrite as follows:

$$B_\gamma^{\alpha\beta} = 0; \sigma^{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

$$\sigma_3^\beta = 0; \sigma_\beta^\alpha = i\sqrt{2}B_\beta^{\alpha 3} + i\delta_\beta^\alpha$$

and the formulae, obtained by complex conjugation.

Next, let us use the expressions for Kirichenko tensors of six-dimensional Hermitian submanifolds of Cayley algebra<sup>[6, 19, 21]</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned} B_c^{ab} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{E}^{abh}D_{hc} \\ B_{ab}^c &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{E}_{abh}D^{bc} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where

$$\varepsilon^{abc} = \varepsilon_{123}^{abc}, \varepsilon_{abc} = \varepsilon_{abc}^{123}$$

are the components of the third-order Kronecher tensor<sup>[6]</sup> and  $D_{hc} = \pm T_{hc}^8 + iT_{hc}^7, D^{hc} = D_{\dot{h}\dot{c}} = \pm T_{\dot{h}\dot{c}}^8 - iT_{\dot{h}\dot{c}}^7$ .

Here  $\{T_{hc}^e\}$  are the components of the configuration tensor (in A. Gray's notation) of the Hermitian submanifold  $M^6 \subset \mathbf{O}$ ; the index  $\varphi$  ranges from 7 to 8 and the indices  $a, b, c, h$  range from 1 to 3<sup>[6, 17-18]</sup>.

Taking into account (1) and (2), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\hat{1}1} &= \sigma_1^1 = i\sqrt{2}B_1^{13} + i\delta_1^1 = \\ i\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon^{13\gamma}D_{\gamma 1}\right) + i &= -iD_{12} + i \\ \sigma_{\hat{2}2} &= \sigma_2^2 = i\sqrt{2}B_2^{23} + i\delta_2^2 = \\ i\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon^{23\gamma}D_{\gamma 2}\right) + i &= iD_{12} + i \\ \sigma_{\hat{1}2} &= \sigma_2^1 = i\sqrt{2}B_2^{13} + i\delta_2^1 = \\ i\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon^{13\gamma}D_{\gamma 2}\right) &= -iD_{22} \\ \sigma_{\hat{2}1} &= \sigma_1^2 = i\sqrt{2}B_1^{23} + i\delta_1^2 = \\ i\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon^{23\gamma}D_{\gamma 1}\right) &= iD_{11} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

If  $N$  is a totally umbilical submanifold of  $M^6$ , then for its second fundamental form we have:

$$\sigma_{ps} = \lambda g_{ps}, \lambda - const, p, s = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \tag{5}$$

Taking into account that the matrix of the contravariant metric tensor of the hypersurface  $N$  looks as follows<sup>[17, 20]</sup>:

$$(g^{ps}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we conclude from (2), (4), and (5) that

$$B_{31}^2 = 0, B_{32}^1 = 0$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon_{31h}D^{h2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon_{312}D^{22} = 0 \Leftrightarrow D^{22} = 0 \Leftrightarrow D_{22} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon_{32h}D^{h1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon_{321}D^{11} = 0 \Leftrightarrow D^{11} = 0 \Leftrightarrow D_{11} = 0$$

Knowing the identity from<sup>[30]</sup>

$$(D_{12})^2 = D_{11}D_{22}$$

we conclude that  $D_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ . Moreover, from (2) it follows that

$$B_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}D_{3\gamma} = 0 \Leftrightarrow D_{3\gamma} = 0$$

$$B_3^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta 3}D_{33} = 0 \Leftrightarrow D_{33} = 0$$

So, the matrix  $(D_{ab})$  vanishes:

$$D_{ab} \equiv 0 \tag{6}$$

As we can see the condition (6) is fulfilled at every point of totally umbilical Kenmotsu hypersurface of six-dimensional Hermitian submanifold of the octave algebra. But this condition is a criterion for the six-dimensional submanifold  $M^6 \subset \mathbf{O}$  to be Kählerian<sup>[21, 31]</sup>. That is why if  $M^6 \subset \mathbf{O}$  complies with the  $U$ -Kenmotsu hypersurfaces axiom, then it is a Kählerian manifold, Q. E. D.

As it was mentioned above, the paper<sup>[6]</sup> by V. F. Kirichenko contains a complete classification of six-dimensional Kählerian submanifolds of Cayley algebra. Now, we can state that this paper contains a complete classification of six-dimensional Hermitian submanifolds of Cayley algebra complying with the  $U$ -Kenmotsu hypersurfaces axiom. We remark also that the property to comply with  $U$ -Kenmotsu hypersurfaces axiom essentially simplify the structure of the six-dimensional Hermitian submanifold of the octave algebra.

### Acknowledgement

The author sincerely thanks Professor Galina A. Banaru (Smolensk State University) for her useful comments and careful reading of the preliminary version of the article. The author is indebted to the anonymous referee for his valuable suggestions which have improved the exposition of the work.

### References:

- [1] Brown R, Gray A. Vector cross products. Comm[J]. Math. Helv., 1967, 42: 222-236.
- [2] Gray A. Six-dimensional almost complex manifolds defined by means of three-fold vector cross products[J]. Tôhoku Math. J., 1969, 21(4): 614-620.
- [3] Gray A. Vector cross products on manifolds[J]. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1969, 141: 465-504.
- [4] Gray A. Nearly Kähler manifolds[J]. J. Diff. Geom., 1970, 4: 283-309.
- [5] Kirichenko V F. On nearly-Kählerian structures induced by means of 3-vector cross products on six-dimensional

- submanifolds of Cayley algebra[J]. Vestnik MGU, 1973, 3:70-75.
- [6] Kirichenko V F. Classification of Kählerian structures, defined by means of three-fold vector cross products on six-dimensional submanifolds of Cayley algebra[J]. Izvestia Vuzov. Math., 1980, 8:32-38.
- [7] Li Haizhong. The Ricci curvature of totally real 3-dimensional submanifolds of the nearly-Kaehler 6-sphere. Bull [J]. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 1996, 3:193-199.
- [8] Li Haizhong, Wei Gouxin. Classification of Lagrangian Willmore submanifolds of the nearly-Kaehler 6-sphere  $S^6(1)$  with constant scalar curvature[J]. Glasgow Math. J., 2006, 48:53-64.
- [9] Hashimoto H. Characteristic classes of oriented 6-dimensional submanifolds in the octonions[J]. Kodai Math. J., 1993, 16:65-73.
- [10] Hashimoto H. Oriented 6-dimensional submanifolds in the octonions [J]. Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 1995, 18: 111-120.
- [11] Hashimoto H, Koda T, Mashimo K, et al. Extrinsic homogeneous Hermitian 6-dimensional submanifolds in the octonions[J]. Kodai Math. J., 2007, 30:297-321.
- [12] Sekigawa K. Almost complex submanifolds of a six-dimensional sphere[J]. Kodai Math. J., 1983, 6:174-185.
- [13] Vrancken L. Special Lagrangian submanifolds of the nearly Kaehler 6-sphere[J]. Glasgow Math. J., 2003, 45: 415-426.
- [14] Ejiri N. Totally real submanifolds in a 6-sphere [J]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1981, 83:759-763.
- [15] Funabashi S, Pak J S. Tubular hypersurfaces of the nearly Kähler 6-sphere [J]. Saitama Math. J., 2001, 19: 13-36.
- [16] Stepanova L V. Contact geometry of hypersurfaces in quasi-Kählerian manifolds[D]. MSPU, Moscow, 1995.
- [17] Banaru M B. Two theorems on cosymplectic hypersurfaces of six-dimensional Hermitian submanifolds of Cayley algebra[J]. Journal of Harbin Institute of Technology, 2000, 8(1):38-40.
- [18] Banaru M B. A note on six-dimensional  $G_1$ -submanifolds of octave algebra[J]. Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics., 2002, 6(3):383-388.
- [19] Banaru M B. Hermitian manifolds and U-cosymplectic hypersurfaces axiom [J]. Journal of Sichuan Normal University(Natural Science), 2003, 26(3):261-263.
- [20] Banaru M B. On Kenmotsu hypersurfaces in a six-dimensional Hermitian submanifold of Cayley algebra [C]. Proceedings of the Workshop. Belgrade. Singapore, 2004, 33-40.
- [21] Banaru M B. Hermitian geometry of six-dimensional submanifolds of Cayley algebra [D]. MSPU, Moscow, 1993.
- [22] Kirichenko V F. Differential-geometrical structures on manifolds[D]. MSPU, Moscow, 2003.
- [23] Banaru M B. On Kirichenko tensors of nearly-Kählerian manifolds[J]. Journal of Sichuan University of Science & Engineering, 2012, 25(4):1-5.
- [24] Kirichenko V F. Sur la géométrie des variétés approximativement cosymplectiques[J]. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. 1, 1982, 295(12):673-676.
- [25] Blair D E. Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry [J]. Lect. Notes Math. 1976, 509:1-145.
- [26] Kenmotsu K. A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds[J]. Tôhoku Math. J., 1972, 24:93-103.
- [27] Kirichenko V F. On geometry of Kenmotsu manifolds [J]. DAN Math., 2001, 380(5):585-587.
- [28] Pitis G. Geometry of Kenmotsu manifolds[M]. Brasov: Publ. House Transilvania Univ., 2007.
- [29] Banaru M B. On six-dimensional Hermitian submanifolds of Cayley algebra satisfying the G-cosymplectic hypersurfaces axiom[J]. Annuaire de l'universite de Sofia " St. Kl. Ohridski " , 2000, 94: 91-96.
- [30] Banaru M B. On the type number of six-dimensional planar Hermitian submanifolds of Cayley algebra[J]. Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, 2003, 43(1):27-35.
- [31] Banaru M B. On the Gray-Hervella classes of AH-structures on six-dimensional submanifolds of Cayley algebra[J]. Annuaire de l'universite de Sofia St. Kl. Ohridski. Math., 2004, 95:125-131.